

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 297 003

SP 030 601

TITLE Critical Thinking Skills and Teacher Education. ERIC Digest 3-88.

INSTITUTION ERIC Clearinghouse on Teacher Education, Washington, D.C.

SPONS AGENCY Office of Educational Research and Improvement (ED), Washington, DC.

PUB DATE 88

CONTRACT 400-83-0022

NOTE 4p.

PUB TYPE Information Analyses - ERIC Information Analysis Products (071)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Abstract Reasoning; *Cognitive Development; *Critical Thinking; *Enrichment Activities; Higher Education; *Preservice Teacher Education; Problem Solving; *Teacher Education Programs

IDENTIFIERS ERIC Digests; *Thinking Skills

ABSTRACT

In this brief review of current literature on developing critical thinking skills in prospective teachers, the focus is on how critical thinking can be taught and how emphasis on critical thinking will affect teacher education. Some programs are cited that promote critical thinking and references are included. (JD)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *

* from the original document. *

ED297003

CRITICAL THINKING SKILLS AND TEACHER EDUCATION

ERIC DIGEST 3-88

SP030601

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☐ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Critical Thinking Skills and Teacher Education

Many educators have long advocated the teaching of critical thinking skills such as reasoning and problem-solving. No action was generated, however, until 1980, when the Rockefeller Commission on the Humanities recommended that critical thinking be included in the U.S. Office of Education definition of basic skills. Three universities now offer a master of arts program in teaching critical thinking; the California State University System requires a course in critical thinking; and the College Board has made it one of the six basic skills needed for college (Educational Testing Service 1984, 8; Ennis 1985, 28).

There are many definitions of critical thinking. Richard Paul (1988, 49) calls it the ability to reach sound conclusions based on observation and information. Barry Beyer (1983) describes it as assessing the authenticity, accuracy and worth of knowledge claims, beliefs, or arguments. Stephen Norris (1985, 40-45) says it helps students to "apply everything they already know and feel, to evaluate their own thinking, and especially to change their behavior. . . ."

Critical thinking is not the same as, and should not be confused with intelligence; it is a skill that may be improved in everyone (Walsh and Paul 1988, 13). However, it is not something that necessarily develops with maturity and so should be taught to all ages. The New Jersey Test of Reasoning Skills, for example, found that the mean scores of college freshmen tested were less than one point above the mean scores of sixth-graders (Lipman, 1980).

How Can Critical Thinking Be Taught?

There is some controversy as to whether or not critical thinking should be taught as an independent course (the process approach) or within established courses (the content approach).

Those favoring the process method maintain that like reading and writing, critical thinking is an enabling discipline and deserves separate instruction (Lipman 1988, 143). They argue that an independent course would prevent students from confining critical thinking to a specific subject matter, thereby inhibiting its development (Lipman 1980, 211); would avoid repetition of introductory principles in each subject; and would encourage the application of cognitive skills to other disciplines (Ennis 1985, 29). Matthew Lipman (1980, 209) recommends all grade levels learn reasoning through philosophy because of its unique, intellectually adventurous approach.

Learning cognitive skills separately, however, may not necessarily facilitate their application to content-area studies or real-life situations. Research suggests the effectiveness of such courses depends on parallel efforts across the curriculum (Resnick 1987, 34-35), including training all teachers in cognitive skills (Pauker 1987, 27).

Advocates of the content approach argue that certain cognitive skills are specific to particular disciplines and should be taught in context (Ashton 1988, 4). This method requires that

teachers have extensive knowledge of their own discipline and of how it differs from others. They can then instruct students how to apply cognitive skills in their areas and when to make contextual links with other areas (Chambers 1988, 5-6). While this approach enhances content domain learning (Resnick 1987, 36) and eliminates the problem of scheduling an extra course (Martin 1983), it has not been widely successful in transferring cognitive skills across the curriculum (Resnick 1987, 36) and imposes the burden of redesigning the way courses are taught (Pauker 1987, 27).

Consideration of the advantages and disadvantages of each leads one to conclude the solution is not exclusively in either method, but in combination. Such a unified approach to critical thinking would provide a framework for instruction in any field (Presseisen 1988).

How Will This Emphasis Affect Teacher Education?

To improve student performance on critical thinking tests, schools of education must improve teacher training. They must teach cognitive skills to preservice teachers before training them to teach these skills in the classroom (Ashton 1980, 2). They must integrate critical thinking skills into *all* aspects of teacher preparation and train future teachers to be models of effective thinking strategies (Walsh and Paul 1988, 49).

Schools of education have several obstacles to overcome before accomplishing these goals, including an inadequate knowledge base on teaching critical thinking; a lack of consensus on methods of evaluating critical thinking programs; conditions that require classroom management at the expense of academic instruction; and a lack of support for collaboration between liberal arts and teacher education faculty (Ashton 1988, 2-5).

Elementary and secondary schools considering a critical thinking skills emphasis must make a long-term commitment to programs fostering the critical thinking process; provide inservice training; assign mentors to new teachers; allot time for teachers to share effective strategies for instruction; involve experienced teachers in the selection of instructional materials and testing programs (Committee on Standards 1988); and appoint a committee to guide curriculum development (Walsh and Paul 1988, 49).

Are There Any Programs That Promote Critical Thinking?

Project THISTLE (Thinking Skills in Teaching and Learning) is a teacher-training program designed to improve the pre-college preparation of urban high-school students by strengthening their critical thinking abilities (Oxman and Barell, 1983).

Lipman's "Philosophy for Children" is a program for younger students that develops informal logic skills through the discussion of issues raised in narrative texts, including problems



of meaning, truth, ethics, reality and imagination (Resnick 1987, 31).

The Instrumental Enrichment program (Feuerstein) is a content-free, paper-and-pencil program that improves problem-solving strategies in 14 cognitive areas and promotes broad application of these strategies from classroom subjects to real-life situations (Martin 1987). The program is currently being applied in the preservice education department at Gallaudet University (Martin 1984, 68-69).

Tactics for Thinking, a teacher-directed approach to critical thinking, is a flexible program for grades K-12 that can be tailored to each school's curriculum and student needs. It focuses on 22 skills and processes that can be applied selectively or to all grades and subjects.

References

Many of the following references—those identified with an ED or EJ number—have been abstracted and are in the ERIC database. The journal articles should be available at most research libraries. The documents (citations with an ED number) are available on microfiche in ERIC microfiche collections at more than 700 locations. Documents also can be ordered through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service. Call (800) 227-3742 for price and order information. For a list of ERIC collections in your area or for information on submitting documents to ERIC, contact the ERIC Clearinghouse on Teacher Education, One Dupont Circle, NW, Suite 610, Washington, DC 20036, (202) 293-2450.

- Ashton, P. (1988). *Teaching higher-order thinking and content: An essential ingredient in teacher preparation*. Gainesville, FL: University of Florida.
- Beyer, B. (1983, November). Common sense about teaching thinking skills. *Educational Leadership*, 41, 44-49. EJ 289-719.
- Chambers, J. (1988, April). Teaching thinking throughout the curriculum—where else? *Educational Leadership*, 45, 4-6.
- Committee on Standards of the Association Collaborative for Teaching Thinking. (1988). *Standards and practices for teachers for thinking*. Alexandria, VA: Association Collaborative for Teaching Thinking, Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Educational Testing Service. (1984). Critical thinking. *Focus 15*. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Ennis, R.H. (1985). Critical thinking and the curriculum. *National Forum: Phi Kappa Phi Journal* 65, (1), 28-31.

- Feuerstein, Reuven & Others. (1986). Learning to Learn: Mediated Learning Experiences and Instrumental Enrichment. *Special Services in the Schools*, 3, (1 2), 49-82. EJ 345-527.
- Lipman, M. (1968). *Philosophy goes to school*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Lipman, M., Sharp, A.M., & Oscanyan, F.S. (1980). *Philosophy in the Classroom*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Martin, D. (1983, February). Thinking skills: A critical new role in teacher education. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education, Detroit, MI. ED 227-065.
- _____. (1984). Can teachers become better thinkers? *Occasional Paper No. 12* National Staff Development Council. Oxford: OH. ED 236-151. Microfiche only available from EDRS.
- _____. (1984, November). Teaching thinking skills: Infusing cognitive strategies into teacher preparation programs. *Educational Leadership*, 42, 68-72.
- Marzano, Robert J. & Arredondo, Daisy E. (1986). *Tactics for Thinking: Teacher's Manual*. Aurora, CO: Mid-continent Educational Laboratory.
- Norris, S.P. (1985, May). Synthesis of research on critical thinking. *Educational Leadership*, 42, 40-45. EJ 319-814.
- Oxman, W., & Barell, J. (1983, April). Reflective thinking in schools: A survey of teacher perceptions. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Montreal, Canada. ED 246-067.
- Oxman, W., & Michelli, N. (1984, February). Project THISTLE: Thinking skills in teaching and learning. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education, San Antonio, TX. ED 241-514.
- Pauker, R. (1987). Teaching thinking and reasoning skills. In Brodinsky, Ben (Ed.), *AASA Critical Issues Report*. Arlington, VA: American Association of School Administrators.
- Paul, R. (1988, April). Critical thinking in the classroom. *Teaching K-8*, 18, 49-51.
- Presseisen, B. (1988, April). Avoiding battle at curriculum gulch: Teaching thinking and content. *Educational Leadership*, 45, 7-8.
- Resnick, L. (1987). *Education and learning to think*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.
- Walsh, D., & Paul, R. (1988). *The goal of critical thinking: From educational ideal to educational reality*. Washington, D.C.: American Federation of Teachers.

ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON TEACHER EDUCATION

Mary Dilworth, Director
Margaret Mann, Associate Director
Barbara Baron, Staff Writer



This publication was prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, under contract no. 400-83-0022. The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of OERI or the Department.



Educational Resources Information Center. The nationwide information system initiated in 1966 by the U.S. Department of Education. ERIC is the largest and most frequently used education data base in the world.